I’m Glad You Asked

Visual Approach vs Contact Approach

By Dick Rochfort, ATP, MCFI, CFII, MEI

QUESTION: Why do I always get offered a visual approach and never a contact approach? What’s the difference anyway?

ANSWER: I’m glad you asked.

First - The visual approach is only offered (and you certainly don’t have to accept it) when the field is VFR (1000 ft and 3 Mi) and you have the field or the preceding aircraft in sight. It is an instrument approach which is conducted in VMC (visual meteorological conditions) with separation from participating traffic but without obstacle clearance. The flight must remain clear of clouds during the approach and you must, of course, be on an instrument flight plan.

Second – The contact approach may not be offered by ATC but may be requested by the pilot. You must have 1 Mi visibility and be clear of clouds and reasonably assured of staying that way. As in the Visual approach, separation is provided from participating aircraft but obstacle clearance is the pilot’s responsibility. This is a big job in some areas with only 1 mile of visibility.

Here is the full story according to the AIM:

5-4-21. Visual Approach

a. A visual approach is conducted on an IFR flight plan and authorizes a pilot to proceed visually and clear of clouds to the airport. The pilot must have either the airport or the preceding identified aircraft in sight. This approach must be authorized and controlled by the appropriate air traffic control facility. Reported weather at the airport must have a ceiling at or above 1,000 feet and visibility 3 miles or greater. ATC may authorize this type approach when it will be operationally beneficial. Visual approaches are an IFR procedure conducted under IFR in visual meteorological conditions. Cloud clearance requirements of 14 CFR Section 91.155 are not applicable, unless required by operation specifications.

b. Operating to an Airport Without Weather Reporting Service. ATC will advise the pilot when weather is not available at the destination airport. ATC may initiate a visual approach provided there is a reasonable assurance that weather at the airport is a ceiling at or above 1,000 feet and visibility 3 miles or greater (e.g. area weather reports, PIREPs, etc.).

c. Operating to an Airport With an Operating Control Tower. Aircraft may be authorized to conduct a visual approach to one runway while other aircraft are conducting IFR or VFR approaches to another parallel, intersecting, or converging runway. When operating to airports with parallel runways separated by less than 2,500 feet, the succeeding aircraft must report sighting the preceding aircraft unless standard separation is being provided by ATC. When operating to parallel runways separated by at least 2,500 feet but less than 4,300 feet, controllers will clear/vector aircraft to the final at an angle not greater than 30 degrees unless radar, vertical, or visual separation is provided during the turn-on. The purpose of the 30 degree intercept angle is to reduce the potential for overshoots.
of the final and to preclude side-by-side operations with one or both aircraft in a belly-up configuration during the 
turn-on. Once the aircraft are established within 30 degrees of final, or on the final, these operations may be 
conducted simultaneously. When the parallel runways are separated by 4,300 feet or more, or intersecting/converging runways are in use, ATC may authorize a visual approach after advising all aircraft 
involved that other aircraft are conducting operations to the other runway. This may be accomplished through use of 
the ATIS.

d. Separation Responsibilities. If the pilot has the airport in sight but cannot see the aircraft to be followed, ATC 
may clear the aircraft for a visual approach; however, ATC retains both separation and wake vortex separation 
responsibility. When visually following a preceding aircraft, acceptance of the visual approach clearance constitutes 
acceptance of pilot responsibility for maintaining a safe approach interval and adequate wake turbulence separation.

e. A visual approach is not an IAP and therefore has no missed approach segment. If a go around is necessary for 
any reason, aircraft operating at controlled airports will be issued an appropriate advisory/clearance/instruction by 
the tower. At uncontrolled airports, aircraft are expected to remain clear of clouds and complete a landing as soon as 
possible. If a landing cannot be accomplished, the aircraft is expected to remain clear of clouds and contact ATC as 
soon as possible for further clearance. Separation from other IFR aircraft will be maintained under these 
circumstances.

f. Visual approaches reduce pilot/controller workload and expedite traffic by shortening flight paths to the airport. It 
is the pilot's responsibility to advise ATC as soon as possible if a visual approach is not desired.

g. Authorization to conduct a visual approach is an IFR authorization and does not alter IFR flight plan cancellation 
responsibility.

REFERENCE

AIM, Canceling IFR Flight Plan, Paragraph 5-1-13.

h. Radar service is automatically terminated, without advising the pilot, when the aircraft is instructed to change to 
advisory frequency.

5-4-23. Contact Approach

a. Pilots operating in accordance with an IFR flight plan, provided they are clear of clouds and have at least 1 mile 
flight visibility and can reasonably expect to continue to the destination airport in those conditions, may request 
ATC authorization for a contact approach.

b. Controllers may authorize a contact approach provided:

1. The contact approach is specifically requested by the pilot. ATC cannot initiate this approach.

   EXAMPLE
   Request contact approach.

2. The reported ground visibility at the destination airport is at least 1 statute mile.

3. The contact approach will be made to an airport having a standard or special instrument approach procedure.
4. Approved separation is applied between aircraft so cleared and between these aircraft and other IFR or special VFR aircraft.

EXAMPLE

Cleared contact approach (and, if required) at or below (altitude) (routing) if not possible (alternative procedures) and advise.

c. A contact approach is an approach procedure that may be used by a pilot (with prior authorization from ATC) in lieu of conducting a standard or special IAP to an airport. It is not intended for use by a pilot on an IFR flight clearance to operate to an airport not having a published and functioning IAP. Nor is it intended for an aircraft to conduct an instrument approach to one airport and then, when "in the clear," discontinue that approach and proceed to another airport. In the execution of a contact approach, the pilot assumes the responsibility for obstruction clearance. If radar service is being received, it will automatically terminate when the pilot is instructed to change to advisory frequency.

I hope this information is helpful.
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